UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,140	10/573,140 11/27/2006		00660.0322-US-WO	3142	
22865 Altera Law Gro	7590 07/22/200 oup, LLC	8	EXAMINER		
220 S 6 St Suite	e 1700	HSIEH, PING Y			
Minneapolis, M	IIN 33402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/573,	140	BRINK ET AL.		
		Examine	er	Art Unit		
		PING Y.	HSIEH	2618		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	ication appears on ti	he cover sheet with th	ne correspondence a	ddress	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi period for reply is specified above, the maximum si et to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no en nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABANDO	PION.  The timely filed from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is <b>FINAL</b> . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance excep	ot for formal matters,		ne merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 22 March 20 Applicant may not request that any objected to size of the drawing of the drawing of the drawing objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 22 March 20	re withdrawn from continuous ction and/or election election election election of the election	requirement. epted or b)∏ objecte	•	er.	
11)□ :	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•	J.,	•	` ,	
·	-	by the Examiner.	vote the attached on	nice Action of Torin 1	10-102.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2)  Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

10/573,140 Art Unit: 2618

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cannon et al. (U.S. PG-PUB NO. 2002/0090912).

-Regarding claim 1, Cannon et al. disclose a communications unit

(BLUETOOTH device 100, fig. 1) constructed as a slave station which is
adapted to be coupled to a plurality of master stations via a wireless connection

(as disclosed in paragraph 23), said communications unit having a memory
with a plurality of address fields in which one or more identification keys may be
stored (BD\_ADDR device address 502 as disclosed in fig. 1 and further
disclosed in paragraph 36-37)), wherein a coupling is established between one
of the master stations and the communications unit in that an identification key of
the master station is stored in one of the address fields of the communications
unit (pairing of BLUETOOTH devices occurs by setting up which
BLUETOOTH device addresses can establish a connection with each other
as disclosed in paragraph 37), wherein at least one of the address fields of the
communications unit is configured with a fixed address field and an associated
electrical lock (passcode or pin as disclosed in paragraph 37), and that the

address field may be overwritten only if a certain master station of the plurality of master stations has a unique identification key to open the electrical lock (as disclosed in paragraph 37 and 38) on a request form communications unit (the requesting BLUETOOTH device receives and validates the associated passcodes or PINS as disclosed in paragraph 47).

-Regarding claim 2, Cannon et al. further disclose the fixed address field is predefined to be coupled only to specific master stations (as disclosed in paragraph 37-38).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cannon et al. (U.S. PG-PUB NO. 2002/0090912).
  - -Regarding claim 3, Cannon et al. disclose all the limitations as claimed in claim 1. Cannon et al. further disclose the communication unit stores addresses of master stations within range (as disclosed in paragraph 41), except that one field is locked against being over written unless supplied with an unlock code (the communications would not be permitted between devices not having a same PIN as disclosed in paragraph 59). Although Cannon et al. do not specifically disclose overwriting the address fields with new address after a

10/573,140

Art Unit: 2618

predetermined maximum number of address fields is filled, the examiner takes official notice that overwriting old data in a memory was well known in the art and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement in a storage means. This modification would have been prompted because it would reduce the size of the memory and save money.

5. Claims 4, 5, 7, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cannon et al. (U.S. PG-PUB NO. 2002/0090912) in view of Liang (U.S. PG-PUB NO. 2002/0071549).

-Regarding claim 4, Cannon et al. disclose all the limitations as claimed in claim 1. However, Cannon et al. fail to specifically disclose the BLUETOOTH device 100 is a headset.

Liang discloses a wireless headset 40 as disclosed in fig. 1 and paragraph 14.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the BLUETOOTH device as disclosed by Cannon et al. to be a wireless headset as disclosed by Liang. One is motivated as such in order to provide an easier way to pick up a telephone when the user is using both hands for other operations.

-Regarding claim 5, the combination further discloses the master station is an adapter master station having a unique identification key, and that the adapter Art Unit: 2618

master station is coupled, optionally wirelessly, to a public switched telephone (Liang, as disclosed in paragraph 14).

-Regarding claim 7, the combination further discloses the master station is a public switched telephone (Liang, as disclosed in paragraph 14).

-Regarding claim 9, the combination further discloses the adapter master station has an electrical circuit or a mechanical structure adapted to lift or hangup the receiver of the public switched telephone (Liang, handset raising device 30 as disclosed in fig. 1 and paragraph 14).

-Regarding claim 11, the combination further discloses the adapter master station has a charging unit to charge the headset (Liang, receiving/charging slot 23 as disclosed in fig. 1 paragraph 18).

6. Claims 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cannon et al. (U.S. PG-PUB NO. 2002/0090912) in view of Liang (U.S. PG-PUB NO. 2002/0071549) and further in view of Mooncy et al. (U.S. PG-PUB NO. 2003/0045235).

-Regarding claims 6 and 10, the combination of Cannon et al. and Liang discloses all the limitations as claimed in claims 1 and 5. However, the combination fails to disclose the adapter master station emits indication signals to the communications unit, allowing it to be verified in the communications unit, e.g. via sound emission, whether it may be coupled to the adapter master station, and if so a prioritized connection to the adapter master station is provided.

Mooncy et al. disclose the adapter master station emits indication signals to the communications unit, allowing it to be verified in the communications unit

(wireless BLUETOOTH phone 502 sends an unsolicited result code RING to alert the user of the BLUETOOTH headset 504 as disclosed in fig. 5 and further disclosed in paragraph 17), e.g. via sound emission, whether it may be coupled to the adapter master station, and if so a prioritized connection to the adapter master station is provided.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the adapter master station as disclosed by Cannon et al. and Liang to emit indication signals to the communications unit as disclosed by Mooncy et al. One is motivated as such in order to provide the user to notice an incoming call even in the noisy environment.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cannon et al. (U.S. PG-PUB NO. 2002/0090912) in view of Liang (U.S. PG-PUB NO. 2002/0071549) and further in view of Hahn et al. (WO 00/72555).

-Regarding claim 8, the combination of Cannon et al. and Liang discloses all the limitations as claimed in claims 1 and 5. However, the combination fails to disclose the adapter master station has a volume control unit to adjust the strength of a signal between the communications unit and a master station, e.g. a public switched telephone, relative to the strength of the signal between the headset and another master station, e.g. a mobile telephone.

Hahn et al. disclose the adapter master station has a volume control unit to adjust the strength of a signal between the communications unit and a master station, e.g. a public switched telephone, relative to the strength of the signal

Art Unit: 2618

between the headset and another master station, e.g. a mobile telephone (page 7 lines 26-28).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the adapter master station as disclosed by Cannon et al. and Liang to have a volume control unit as disclosed by Hahn et al. One is motivated as such in order to provide a uniform volume to prevent damage to the ears.

### Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 5/30/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
  - a. In pages 4 and 5 of the remarks, regarding claim 1, applicant argues that there is no disclosure within Cannon et al. that indicates that the communication unit in addition to the well-known address fields 8 has a special address field 9 with an associated electrical lock, which is reserved so that only master stations having a special identification key are capable of addressing the address field 9.

    -The examiner respectfully disagrees. Cannon et al. indeed disclose the implementation of the PIN in a BLUETOOTH piconet device is to provide another level of security as disclosed in paragraph 59; and the device list can only store the received BD\_ADDRs from other BLUETOOTH devices having matching PIN as disclosed in paragraph 43.
- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PING Y. HSIEH whose telephone number is (571)270-3011. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuwen Pan can be reached on 571-272-7855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/573,140

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. Y. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 2618

/Yuwen Pan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618